## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | )                               |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                          |                                 |
| V.                       | ) CRIM. CASE NO. 2:22-cr-94-ECM |
|                          | )                               |
| BRANDON LACORY MOORE     | )                               |

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER**

Now pending before the court is the Defendant's motion to continue trial (doc. 15) filed on April 22, 2022. Jury selection and trial are presently set on the term of court commencing on June 13, 2022. For the reasons set forth below, the court will grant a continuance of the trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

While the trial judge enjoys great discretion when determining whether to grant a continuance, the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161; *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986). The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1).

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the court "shall consider,"

among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely "result in a miscarriage of

justice," or "would deny counsel for the defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i),

(iv).

Counsel for the Defendant represents that discovery in this case is voluminous, and

additional time is necessary to investigate this case, explore pretrial resolution and prepare for

trial. After careful consideration, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a

continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy

trial. Thus, for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motion to continue (doc. 15) is GRANTED to the extent that jury

selection and trial are CONTINUED from June 13, 2022, to the next criminal term of court

set to commence on August 29, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. in Montgomery, Alabama. All deadlines

tied to the trial date are adjusted accordingly.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the

August trial term.

Done this 22nd day of April, 2022.

/s/Emily C. Marks

EMILY C. MARKS

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE